Purpose:

Collecting Information on

- the use of VVM
- the contentiousness with latest version
- the demands or wishes for improvement

Responses:

- 9 Project Developers
- 3 DOEs
- 1 unidentified

Most important applications:

Clear vote: "Guidance for auditors during assessments"

Second:

"Guidance for PPs in PDD development and preparing for audits" and "Guidance for DOEs in preparing reports"

DOE also prioritize:

"Basis for DOEs in trainings and qualification programs of own staff"

Interesting:

"Basis for PDs internal quality assessments and compliance checks"

Almost daily or least quite frequent use within both groups

All (without exemption) consider it quite useful

Meets mostly or partly the expectations on guidance

Most consider it partly too descriptive

Most see partly differences in understanding by regulator, DOEs and PPs

Most wish integration of other guidance yet outside the VVM

Majority sees most urgent need of updates for the chapters on validation requirements and verification requirements

Most urgent action required with regard to:

- existing inconsistencies
- deviations, MP changes and activity changes
- updates along recent decision (e.g. tariffs)
- a clearer definition of the scope of validations and verifications

DOEs are much more specific on which paragraphs require updates

Requested amendments:

- guidance for situations which are ruled within the VVM (grey areas)
- more examples for addressed situations
- templates for reports
- clear grace period for updates

Summary:

It is already valuable, but still requires improvements